

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/554,286	LINHART ET AL.
	Examiner ROBERT S. WALTERS JR	Art Unit 1717

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ROBERT S. WALTERS JR. (3)_____.

(2) David Stitzel. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 01 November 2011.

Type: Telephonic Video Conference
 Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1,7,9 and 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The examiner proposed an examiner's amendment to cancel claims 7, 9 and 10 and to amend the language of claim 1, which was accepted by applicant's representative.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment

/ROBERT S WALTERS JR/ Examiner, Art Unit 1717	
--	--